

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,615	FREY ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
MATTHEW S. LINDSEY	2451	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) MATTHEW S. LINDSEY. (3) _____.
 (2) Robert O'Rourke (46,972). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

55-60

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Follansbee/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicant that the application was being searched for allowance. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 101 issues in claims 55-60. The applicant agreed with examiners suggestion to preclude claims 55-60 from being directed to carrier waves and signals by amending "computer readable storage medium" to "computer readable non-transitory medium". The applicant authorized the examiner changes in an examiners amendment once the application was in condition for allowance. .